















- Ergon. Soc.*, p. 18720814553792, 2014.
- [17] A. H. S. Chan and C. K. L. Or, "A comparison of semantic and spatial stimulus-response compatibility effects for human-machine interface design," *Eur. J. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 629–643, 2012.
- [18] S. Mattes, "The lane-change-task as a tool for driver distraction evaluation," *Qual. Work Prod. Enterp. Futur.*, vol. 2003, p. 57, 2003.
- [19] ISO, "26022: 2010 Road vehicles—Ergonomic aspects of transport information and control systems—Simulated lane change test to assess in-vehicle secondary task demand," *Int. Organ. Stand.*, 2010.
- [20] H. Tattegrain, M.-P. Bruyas, and N. Karmann, "Comparison between adaptive and basic model metrics in lane change test to assess in-vehicle secondary task demand," in *21st esv conference*, 2009.
- [21] T. D. Wickens and G. Keppel, "Design and analysis: A researcher's handbook." Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 2004.
- [22] C. Spence and J. Driver, *Crossmodal space and crossmodal attention*. Oxford University Press, 2004.
- [23] C. Koppen and C. Spence, "Audiovisual asynchrony modulates the Colavita visual dominance effect," *Brain Res.*, vol. 1186, pp. 224–232, 2007.
- [24] M. Jeon, "How Is Nonverbal Auditory Information Processed? Revisiting Existing Models and Proposing a Preliminary Model," in *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, 2016, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 1529–1533.
- [25] C. D. Wickens, S. R. Dixon, and B. Seppelt, "Auditory preemption versus multiple resources: Who wins in interruption management?," in *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, 2005, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 463–466.